

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,129	DALLY ET AL.	
	Examiner DOUGLAS M. WILLIS	Art Unit 1624	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** ALLOWED(1) DOUGLAS M. WILLIS

(3) _____

(2) ROBERT D. TITUS

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 May 2009**Time:** 1:00 PM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Obviousness-type Double Patenting

Claims discussed:

2, 8 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/DOUGLAS M WILLIS/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner agreed to withdraw the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection and pass this case to issue, since applicant agreed to either cancel the conflicting subject matter in copending application 10/599,125 or file a Terminal Disclaimer over the patent issued from instant application 10/599,129. Also, authorization was provided to cancel claim 11 via Examiner's Amendment.